

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/626,999	Applicant(s) CHENG ET AL.	
	Examiner Fred I. Ehichioya	Art Unit 2162	

**All Participants:**

(1) Fred I. Ehichioya.

(2) Kenton Mullins (Reg. No. 36,331):
**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 7 September 2007
**Time:** 6:15 PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:


None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Examiner called applicant's representative (Mr. Mullins) and informed him that original claim 23 depends on cancelled claim 22.  
Mr Mullins suggested that claim 23 should now depend from claim 21.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



SPE 2169

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)